

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 20 2005

From : Alain Painchaud, ing., da, client # 46343, application # 10/711 662. Foreign
Filing License Granted: 11/24/2004, Bridge Converting movement into
Electrical Energy.

Date: April 20th, 2005.

To: Raymond Addie, Patent Examiner, USPTO, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box
1450, Alexandria, Virginia, 22313-1450, fax # 571-273-8300 or 703-872-9306

Reference: Art 3671, Office Action Summary for application # 10/711,662

M. Addie,

In reference to your last memo dated 22 March 2005, here is my response by fax. The originals will follow
by regular courier.

First, I have made all the corrections that you asked in the Office Summary Action, that I have attached to
this communication.


In particular, I would like to point out that I consulted the patent of Lin and that I do not see that his
invention could do the job that mine does. In that sense, I think that my invention is unique. Lin invention
could be connected to the output of the crankshaft of my invention but it is not even sure that it could
generate electricity correctly, since the rotation of the crankshaft of my invention are discrete, just like
when you pedal on a bike.

I added other inventions that could be considered as similar to mine and I gave you the reason why my
invention is unique.

I have also corrected my claims to reflect your comments.

Finally, the ABX Authoring tool does not work on my computer, apparently because the I have MS Office
2003 in French. This is why I send you the text and drawings in paper format, instead of electronic format.
You will also notice that I have sent you original drawings and the replacement sheets, that take into
account the comments that you sent me.

Regards,


Alain Painchaud, P.Eng., Da
724, Ste Marie, Quebec, Quebec, G1R3G8
Cell: 418-953-7027

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/711,662

Applicant(s)

PAINCHAUD, ALAIN

Examiner

Raymond W. Addie

Art Unit

3671

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 December 2004.
- ☐ This action is FINAL. ☒ This action is non-final.
- ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
 - Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 17, 28 December 2004; 9/29/04 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - ☒ All
 - ☐ Some
 - ☐ None of:
 - ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date, _____
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____